3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

27

28

FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP	
SCOTT M. MAHONEY, ESQ.	
Nevada Bar No. 1099 300 S. Fourth Street	
Suite 1500	
Las Vegas, NV 89101	
Telephone: (702) 252-3131	ng aom
E-Mail Address: <a href="mailto:smahoney@fisherphilli">smahoney@fisherphilli</a> Attorney for Defendant	<u>ps.com</u>
UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
DISTRIC	Γ OF NEVADA
KIAYNA FORD, an individual	) Case No:
Dlaintiff	) NOTICE OF DEMOVAL OF
Plaintiff,	) NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION
Plaintiff, vs.	,
vs.	) ACTION )
vs. STEELMAN PARTNERS LLP.; DOES I	) ACTION )
vs. STEELMAN PARTNERS LLP.; DOES I through X; and ROE Corporations XI	) ACTION )
vs.	) ACTION )

# TO: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant, Steelman Partners LLP, hereby files this Notice of Removal of Action of this case from the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, Case No. A-20-824764-C (the "State Action"), in which it is now pending, to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, and respectively state as follows:

- 1. The jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 2. On November 13, 2020, Plaintiff filed her Complaint in the State Action, which Complaint was served on Defendants on January 28, 2021. All

- 1 -

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

available process, pleadings and orders served on Defendants	s in	connection	with	the
State Action are attached hereto as Exhibit A.				

- 3. The grounds for removal are as follows: The Complaint alleges claims under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et. seq.*, creating federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. This Notice of Removal of Action is executed pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED this 18th day of February 2021.

### FISHER & PHILLIPS, LLP

By: /s/ Scott M. Mahoney, Esq.
300 South Fourth Street
Suite 1500
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Defendant

### **CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE**

This is to certify that on the 18th day of February 2021, the undersigned, an employee of Fisher & Phillips LLP, electronically filed the foregoing Notice of Removal of Action with the U.S. District Court, and a copy was electronically transmitted from the Court to the e-mail addresses on file for:

STEVEN H. BURKE, ESQ.

By: /s/ Sarah Griffin
An employee of Fisher & Phillips LLP

- 2 -

Case 2:21-cv-00268-KJD-EJY Document 1 Filed 02/18/21 Page 3 of 18
Electronically Issued
1/26/2021 10:27 AM

**SUMM** 

# **District Court**

### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

KIAYNA FORD, an individual;

Plaintiff.

VS.

STEELMAN PARTNERS LLP; DOES I through X; and ROE Corporations XI through XX, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-20-824764-C Dept.: 19

SUMMONS

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS, READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

**TO THE DEFENDANT:** A Civil Petition for Judicial Review has been filed by the plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

### STEELMAN PARTNERS LLP

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
- a. File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court.
  - b. Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.

Issued at the request of:

Steven H. Burke

Nevada Bar No. 14037

Law Office of Steven H. Burke

9205 W. Russell Road, Suite 240

Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

Attorney for Plaintiff

STEVEN D. GPIERSON, CLERK OF COURT

Debuty Clerk

1/27/2021 Date

County Courthouse 7
200 South Third Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Robyn Rodriguez

\*NOTE:

When service is by publication, add a brief statement of the object of the action.

See Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 4(b).

Case Number: A-20-824764-C

# Case 2:21-cv-00268-KJD-EJY Document 1 Filed 02/18/21 Page 4 of 18

STATE OF	) ) ss:
COUNTY OF	·
of the United States, over 18 years of age, n	_, being duly sworn says: That at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen not a party to or interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made.
served the same on the day of	on the day of, 2019 and, 2019 by:
	ust complete the appropriate paragraph)
<del>-</del>	the defendant a
2. serving the defendant	by personally delivering and leaving a copy with
	erson of suitable age and discretion residing at the defendant's usual place
(Use paragraph	n 3 for service upon agent, completing A or B)
3. serving the defendant(state address)	by personally delivering and leaving a copy at
a. with designated by statute to accept ser	as, an agent lawfully
age and discretion at the above address, w certificate of designation filed with the Sec	mail box of the United States Post Office, enclosed in a sealed envelope
Certified mail, return Registered mail, return	
addressed to the defendant(state address)	at the defendant's last known address which is
Executed this day of	, 20
	Signature of person making service
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me t	his
day of, 2019.	
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State	
My commission expires:	

27

28

Electronically Filed
11/13/2020 2:30 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

**COMJD** 

STEVEN H. BURKE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 14037

LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN H. BURKE, LLC

D.B.A. THE 808 FIRM

9205 W. Russell Road, Suite 240

Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

T: 702-793-4369 | F: 702-793-4301

Email: stevenburkelaw@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

CASE NO: A-20-824764 C Department 19

### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

### **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

KIAYNA FORD, an individual

Plaintiff,

Case No. Dept. No.

VS.

STEELMAN PARTNERS LLP.; DOES I through X; and ROE Corporations XI through XX, inclusive,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND

### **COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW Kiayna Ford ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Ford"), by and through her attorney, Steven H. Burke, Esq. of Law Office of Steven H. Burke, and hereby files her Complaint and complains of Defendant Steelman Partners LLP ("Defendant" or "Steelman") as follows:

### **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

- 1. This is a civil action for damages under state and federal laws prohibiting unlawful employment actions and to secure the protection of and to redress deprivation of rights under these laws.
  - 2. Jurisdiction and venue are based upon federal and state law.
- 3. Jurisdiction and venue are also proper based upon Plaintiff's claims under Nevada state and common law.
  - 4. Plaintiff hereby designates Clark County as the venue for this proceeding per NRS

Page 1 of 7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

§ 13.040 and files in the Eighth Judicial District Court accordingly.

The alleged unlawful employment actions occurred in this judicial district. 5.

### **PARTIES**

- At all times relevant, Plaintiff is and was an individual residing in Clark County, 6. Nevada.
- At all times relevant, Defendant is and was a Nevada Limited Liability Partnership 7. created under the laws of the State of Nevada.
- At all times relevant, Defendant was conducting business in Clark County, 8. Nevada.
- At all times relevant, Defendant had custody and/or control over Plaintiff and her 9. employment, and Defendant was responsible for Plaintiff's labor and employment matters.
- At all times relevant, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant as that term is 10. defined in NRS Chapter 608, NRS Chapter 613, 29 U.S.C. § 203, and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- At all times relevant, Defendant was Plaintiff's employer as that term is defined in 11. NRS Chapter 608, NRS Chapter 613, 29 U.S.C. § 203, and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or 12. otherwise of other Defendants hereinafter designated as Does I-X and Roe Corporations XI-XX, inclusive, who are in some manner responsible for the injuries described herein, and who were, upon information and belief, Plaintiff's "employer" is unknown to Plaintiff at this time who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names and will seek leave of the Court to amend this Amended Complaint to show their true names and capacities when ascertained.
  - Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues triable by jury herein. 13.

### PROCEDUARL REQUIREMENTS

- Plaintiff has satisfied all administrative and jurisdictional requirements necessary 14. to maintain this lawsuit. Plaintiff timely filed his charge of discrimination with the Nevada Equal Rights Commission ("NERC") on or about May 10, 2020. See a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Charge of Discrimination attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
  - On or about August 17, 2020, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 15.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

("EEOC") issued Plaintiff a right to sue. See a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Right to Sue attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. On or about July 3, 2019, Ms. Ford began her employment with Defendant as an Intern.
- 17. On or about August 20, 2019, Ms. Ford was promoted to an Administrative Assistant.
- 18. At all times relevant, Ms. Ford was an exemplary employee while employed by Defendant.
- 19. In or about September, 2019, Ms. Ford became severely ill and communicated her sickness to Defendant, requiring her to miss several days of work.
- 20. Beginning in or about September, 2019, Ms. Ford's Supervisor, Mercedes Cruz ("Ms. Cruz") began to treat Ms. Ford disparately and communicated to Ms. Ford to "take care" of her condition.
- 21. In or about September, 2019, Ms. Cruz refused to accommodate Ms. Ford, knowing she was ill.
  - 22. Upon information and belief, Ms. Cruz allowed other employees to come in late.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Ms. Cruz discriminated against Ms. Ford based on her disability and race (African American).
- 24. On or about September 16, 2019, Ms. Cruz cut Ms. Ford's hours from full-time to part-time, claiming it was necessary due to Ms. Ford's health issues.
- 25. Ms. Ford's Project Manager, William Tashakori ("Mr. Tashakori") made discriminatory comments about Ms. Ford saying "Seems like there's something always wrong with you."
- 26. Ms. Ford understood Ms. Cruz's and Mr. Tashakori's acts as stereotypical and discriminatory on the basis of her disability and race.
- 27. Despite this hostile work environment, Ms. Ford continued to be an excellent employee.

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
-	12
race (107) .va.	13
(104)	14
	15
1EL.: (104) 125-4307	16
(707)	17
155.	18
	19
	20
	21
	22

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

28	Me	Ford	made	internal	compla	ints to	Defendant	about	her o	lisparate	treatment
2.8	IVIS	PORG	шане	ппеша	СОППЛА	unis io	Detelluant	aooui	1101	msparate.	ti Ottilli Olit

- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not investigate Ms. Ford's complaints.
- 30. On or about October 4, 2019, Defendant terminated Ms. Ford.
- 31. Defendant's termination of Ms. Ford occurred a short time after she made internal complaints and a short time after she returned to work after being sick.
- 32. Defendant's proffered reason of terminating Ms. Ford was her mood and work ethic.
  - 33. Defendant's proffered reason for termination was pretextual.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION RACIAL/DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq. / NRS § 613.330

- 34. Ms. Ford hereby realleges and incorporates each and every allegation previously made herein.
  - 35. Ms. Ford is African American.
  - 36. Ms. Ford had a documented disability.
  - 37. Ms. Ford was an exemplary employee and qualified for her position.
- 38. Despite her qualifications, Defendant, through the actions of its agents as more fully set forth above, subjected Ms. Ford to adverse employment actions, including, but not limited to, harassing Ms. Ford based on her race and disability; and by discriminating against and eventually terminating Ms. Ford on the basis of his race and/or disability.
- 39. Defendant, through its agents, participated in conduct that was degrading to Ms. Ford. Such conduct had the purpose or effect of creating an intimidating, hostile, and offensive work environment, and had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with Ms. Ford's work performance.
- 40. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant and its agents complained of herein are in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.*
- 41. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant and its agents complained of herein are in violation of NRS § 613.330 et seq.

irm	/egas, NV. 89148	02) 793-4301
d.b.a. The 808 Firm	Russell Rd., Ste. 240, Las Vegas, NV. 89148	: (702) 793-4369 FAX: (702) 793-4301

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

42.	As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful activity, Ms. Ford has
sustained dam	ages in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).

- The conduct of Defendant has been malicious, fraudulent or oppressive and was 43. designed to vex, annoy, harass or humiliate Ms. Ford and, thus, Ms. Ford is entitled to punitive damages with respect to her claim.
- As a result of Defendant's conduct, as set forth herein, Ms. Ford has been required 44. to retain the services of an attorney and, as a direct, natural, and foreseeable consequence thereof, has been damaged thereby, and is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION RETALIATION 42 U.S.C. § 12203 / 42 U.S.C. § 12101e et seq. / NRS § 613,340

- Ms. Ford hereby realleges and incorporates each and every allegation previously 45. made herein.
- As set forth more fully above, Defendant retaliated against Ms. Ford when she 46. opposed discrimination and unlawful conduct, in good faith, as detailed in her internal complaint(s) and/or discussion(s) with Defendant.
- There exists a temporal proximity in relation to Ms. Ford's complaints of unlawful 47. discrimination and Ms. Ford's resulting termination.
- Defendant subjected Ms. Ford to undeserved disciplinary conduct and eventual 48. termination in close proximity after Ms. Ford complained to Defendant of discriminatory behavior and requested reasonable accommodations.
- Defendant retaliated against Ms. Ford when she opposed discrimination and 49. unlawful conduct by terminating Ms. Ford and subjecting her to harassing behavior.
- Defendant's termination of Ms. Ford constituted a retaliatory discharge in violation 50. of 42 U.S.C. § 12203, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., and NRS § 613.340.
- By taking these adverse actions, Defendant has engaged in discriminatory practices 51. with malice and/or with reckless disregard to Ms. Ford's protected rights. As a result, Ms. Ford has been damaged.

52.	As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful activity, Ms. Ford ha
sustained dama	ages in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).

- ` 53. Defendant has acted willfully and maliciously, and with oppression, fraud, or malice, and as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Ms. Ford is entitled to an award of exemplary or punitive damages.
- 54. As a result of Defendant's conduct, as set forth herein, Ms. Ford has been required to retain the services of an attorney and, as a direct, natural, and foreseeable consequence thereof, has been damaged thereby, and is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT HIRING, TRAINING, AND SUPERVISION

- 55. Ms. Ford hereby realleges and incorporates each and every allegation previously made herein.
- 56. Defendant breached its duty of reasonable care to protect Ms. Ford from the negligent and/or careless actions of their own agents, officers, employees, customers and others.
- 57. Defendant breached its duty of reasonable care by hiring individuals with a propensity towards committing unlawful acts against Ms. Ford.
- 58. Defendant breached its duty of reasonable care by failing to adequately train and supervise their employees by with lawful policies and procedures of discrimination, harassment, and retaliation.
- 59. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct described hereinabove, Ms. Ford has been damaged in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000).
- 60. As a result of Defendant's conduct, as set forth herein, Ms. Ford has been required to retain the services of an attorney, and, as a direct, natural, and foreseeable consequence thereof, has been damaged thereby, and is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 61. Defendant acted willfully and maliciously, and with oppression, fraud, or malice, and a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Ms. Ford is entitled to an award of exemplary or punitive damages

# **d.b.a.** The 808 Firm 9205 W. Russell Rd., Ste. 240, Las Vegas, NV. 89148 TEL.: (702) 793-4369 FAX: (702) 793-4301

1	WHEREFO	RE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:
2	1.	For general damages in excess of \$15,000.00;
3 .	2.	For special damages;
4	3.	For consequential damages;
5	4.	For punitive damages;
6	5.	For all damages and relief owed to Plaintiff under the Nevada Constitution and
7		Nevada law;
8	6.	For attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action; and
9	7.	Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
10	DAT	ED this 13 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2020.
11		LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN H. BURKE
12		LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN II. DURKE
13		By: <u>/s/ Steven H. Burke</u> STEVEN H. BURKE, ESQ.
14		Nevada Bar No.: 14037 9205 W. Russell Rd., Ste. 240
15		Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 T: 702-793-4369   F: 702-793-4301
16		Email: stevenburkelaw@gmail.com  Attorney for Plaintiff
17		Thomey jor 1 terming
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

**EXHIBIT "1"** 

EEOC Form 5 (11/09)							
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	Presented To: A	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):				
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act		FEPA					
Statement and other information before completing this form.	X	EEOC	487-2020-00034				
Nevada Equal Right	ts Commissi	on	and EEOC				
Stale or local Age							
Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		Home Phone (Incl. Area C					
Miss Kiayna Ford		(702) 205-539	1 1998				
2333 Silver Crew Pass, Henderson, NV 89052	and ZIP Code						
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeshin Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS	ip Committee, or S	State or Local Governmer	nt Agency That I Believe				
Name	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>	No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)				
STEELMAN PARTNERS		15 - 100	(702) 873-0221				
Street Address City, State	and ZIP Code						
3330 W Desert Inn Road, Las Vegas, NV 89102							
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)				
Street Address City, State	and ZIP Code						
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)			IMINATION TOOK PLACE				
	7ODIC	Earliest 08-23-20	Latest 019 08-23-2019				
X RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION	NATIONAL ORIG		119 00-20-2010				
RETALIATION AGE X DISABILITY GE OTHER (Specify)							
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):							
On or about July 03, 2019, I was hired Steelman Partners in the position of Intern. My last position held was a Part-Time employee. On or about October 04, 2019, I was called in to meet with Mercedes Cruz who took over Human Resources. Ms. Cruz informed me that they were letting me go due to my mood and work ethic declining. Ms. Cruz also informed me that if I would like to reapply back in the summer they would hire me again. I feel I was Retaliated against due to getting sick.  I believe I was discriminated against because of my Disability, in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, my Race (African-American), in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.							
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their		necessary for State and Loc					
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	I swear or affirm the best of my I SIGNATURE OF	knowledge, information ar	ove charge and that it is true to nd belief.				
05/12/2020 Kingna Lad	SUBSCRIBED AN	ND SWORN TO BEFORE ME )	E THIS DATE				
Date Charging Party Signature							

CP Enclosure with EEOC Form 5 (11/09)

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT: Under the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub. Law 93-579, authority to request personal data and its uses are:

- 1. FORM NUMBER/TITLE/DATE. EEOC Form 5, Charge of Discrimination (11/09).
- 2. AUTHORITY. 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(b), 29 U.S.C. 211, 29 U.S.C. 626, 42 U.S.C. 12117, 42 U.S.C. 2000ff-6.
- 3. PRINCIPAL PURPOSES. The purposes of a charge, taken on this form or otherwise reduced to writing (whether later recorded on this form or not) are, as applicable under the EEOC anti-discrimination statutes (EEOC statutes), to preserve private suit rights under the EEOC statutes, to invoke the EEOC's jurisdiction and, where dual-filing or referral arrangements exist, to begin state or local proceedings.
- 4. ROUTINE USES. This form is used to provide facts that may establish the existence of matters covered by the EEOC statutes (and as applicable, other federal, state or local laws). Information given will be used by staff to guide its mediation and investigation efforts and, as applicable, to determine, conciliate and litigate claims of unlawful discrimination. This form may be presented to or disclosed to other federal, state or local agencies as appropriate or necessary in carrying out EEOC's functions. A copy of this charge will ordinarily be sent to the respondent organization against which the charge is made.
- 5. WHETHER DISCLOSURE IS MANDATORY; EFFECT OF NOT GIVING INFORMATION. Charges must be reduced to writing and should identify the charging and responding parties and the actions or policies complained of. Without a written charge, EEOC will ordinarily not act on the complaint. Charges under Title VII, the ADA or GINA must be sworn to or affirmed (either by using this form or by presenting a notarized statement or unsworn declaration under penalty of perjury); charges under the ADEA should ordinarily be signed. Charges may be clarified or amplified later by amendment. It is not mandatory that this form be used to make a charge.

### Notice of Right to Request Substantial Weight Review

Charges filed at a state or local Fair Employment Practices Agency (FEPA) that dual-files charges with EEOC will ordinarily be handled first by the FEPA. Some charges filed at EEOC may also be first handled by a FEPA under worksharing agreements. You will be told which agency will handle your charge. When the FEPA is the first to handle the charge, it will notify you of its final resolution of the matter. Then, if you wish EEOC to give Substantial Weight Review to the FEPA's final findings, you must ask us in writing to do so within 15 days of your receipt of its findings. Otherwise, we will ordinarily adopt the FEPA's finding and close our file on the charge.

### NOTICE OF NON-RETALIATION REQUIREMENTS

Please notify EEOC or the state or local agency where you filed your charge if retaliation is taken against you or others who oppose discrimination or cooperate in any investigation or lawsuit concerning this charge. Under Section 704(a) of Title VII, Section 4(d) of the ADEA, Section 503(a) of the ADA and Section 207(f) of GINA, it is unlawful for an *employer* to discriminate against present or former employees or job applicants, for an *employment agency* to discriminate against anyone, or for a *union* to discriminate against its members or membership applicants, because they have opposed any practice made unlawful by the statutes, or because they have made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the laws. The Equal Pay Act has similar provisions and Section 503(b) of the ADA prohibits coercion, intimidation, threats or interference with anyone for exercising or enjoying, or aiding or encouraging others in their exercise or enjoyment of, rights under the Act.

EXHIBIT "2"

EEOC Form 161	(11/16) U.S. EG	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION		
		DISMISSAL AND NOTICE	OF RIGHTS	
c/o L ATTN 9205	na Ford AW OFFICE OD STEVEN H. I: Steven Burke, Esq. W Russell Rd /egas, NV 89148		rom: Las Vegas Local Office 333 Las Vegas Blvd South Suite 5560 Las Vegas, NV 89101	
	On behalf of person(s) CONFIDENTIAL (29 CI	aggrieved whose identity is FR §1601.7(a))		
EEOC Charg	e No. EE	OC Representative	Telephone No.	
	Ju	an N. Serrata,		
487-2020-	00034 Inv	/estigator	(702) 388-5058	
THE EEO	C IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON T	THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOL	LLOWING REASON:	
	The facts alleged in the charge	fail to state a claim under any of t	f the statutes enforced by the EEOC.	
	Your allegations did not involve	a disability as defined by the Ame	nericans With Disabilities Act.	
	The Respondent employs less	han the required number of empl	ployees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.	
	Your charge was not timely discrimination to file your charge		ds, you waited too long after the date(s) of the	alleged
X	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.			
	The EEOC has adopted the find	lings of the state or local fair emp	ployment practices agency that investigated this cha	rge.
	Other (briefly state)			
		- NOTICE OF SUIT RIG (See the additional information altach		
D <mark>iscrimin</mark> a You may fil awsuit <b>mu</b> :	ition in Employment Act: The e a lawsuit against the respon st be filed <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u>	is will be the only notice of dis dent(s) under federal law bas	tion Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age ismissal and of your right to sue that we will ser sed on this charge in federal or state court. Yo ce; or your right to sue based on this charge will be different.)	ur
alleged EP/	Act (EPA): EPA suits must be a underpayment. This means file suit may not be collectib	that backpay due for any vio		<b>rears)</b> i M. West =EEOC,
		\\\@\	email=tamara.west@eeoc.	
Enclosures(s		Tamara West,		-07'00'

Tamara West, **Local Office Director** 

CC;

**Human Resources** Manager STEELMAN PARTNERS 3330 W Desert Inn Road Las Vegas, NV 89102

Enclosure with EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

### INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law.</u>

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

### PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <u>within</u> <u>90 days</u> of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was mailed to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Courts often require that a copy of your charge must be attached to the complaint you file in court. If so, you should remove your birth date from the charge. Some courts will not accept your complaint where the charge includes a date of birth. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

### PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 -- in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

### ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do not relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

### ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

NOTICE OF RIGHTS UNDER THE ADA AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2008 (ADAAA): The ADA was amended, effective January 1, 2009, to broaden the definitions of disability to make it easier for individuals to be covered under the ADA/ADAAA. A disability is still defined as (1) a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities (actual disability); (2) a record of a substantially limiting impairment; or (3) being regarded as having a disability. However, these terms are redefined, and it is easier to be covered under the new law.

If you plan to retain an attorney to assist you with your ADA claim, we recommend that you share this information with your attorney and suggest that he or she consult the amended regulations and appendix, and other ADA related publications, available at http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability\_regulations.cfm.

"Actual" disability or a "record of" a disability (note: if you are pursuing a failure to accommodate claim you must meet the standards for either "actual" or "record of" a disability):

- > The limitations from the impairment no longer have to be severe or significant for the impairment to be considered substantially limiting.
- ➤ In addition to activities such as performing manual tasks, walking, seeing, hearing, speaking, breathing, learning, thinking, concentrating, reading, bending, and communicating (more examples at 29 C.F.R. § 1630.2(i)), "major life activities" now include the operation of major bodily functions, such as: functions of the immune system, special sense organs and skin; normal cell growth; and digestive, genitourinary, bowel, bladder, neurological, brain, respiratory, circulatory, cardiovascular, endocrine, hemic, lymphatic, musculoskeletal, and reproductive functions; or the operation of an individual organ within a body system.
- > Only one major life activity need be substantially limited.
- With the exception of ordinary eyeglasses or contact lenses, the beneficial effects of "mitigating measures" (e.g., hearing aid, prosthesis, medication, therapy, behavioral modifications) are not considered in determining if the impairment substantially limits a major life activity.
- An impairment that is "episodic" (e.g., epilepsy, depression, multiple sclerosis) or "in remission" (e.g., cancer) is a disability if it would be substantially limiting when active.
- An impairment may be substantially limiting even though it lasts or is expected to last fewer than six months.

### "Regarded as" coverage:

- An individual can meet the definition of disability if an employment action was taken because of an actual or perceived impairment (e.g., refusal to hire, demotion, placement on involuntary leave, termination, exclusion for failure to meet a qualification standard, harassment, or denial of any other term, condition, or privilege of employment).
- > "Regarded as" coverage under the ADAAA no longer requires that an impairment be substantially limiting, or that the employer perceives the impairment to be substantially limiting.
- > The employer has a defense against a "regarded as" claim only when the impairment at issue is objectively *BOTH* transitory (lasting or expected to last six months or less) *AND* minor.
- > A person is not able to bring a failure to accommodate claim *if* the individual is covered only under the "regarded as" definition of "disability."

Note: Although the amended ADA states that the definition of disability "shall be construed broadly" and "should not demand extensive analysis," some courts require specificity in the complaint explaining how an impairment substantially limits a major life activity or what facts indicate the challenged employment action was because of the impairment. Beyond the initial pleading stage, some courts will require specific evidence to establish disability. For more information, consult the amended regulations and appendix, as well as explanatory publications, available at <a href="http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability\_regulations.cfm">http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability\_regulations.cfm</a>.